



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/728,170	12/01/2000	Robert A. Luciano	732.454 SDG.CON	3874	
21707	7590 01/15/2003				
IAN F. BURNS & ASSOCIATES 1575 DELUCCHI LANE, SUITE 222 RENO, NV 89502		•	EXAMINER		
			WILLIAMS	WILLIAMS, KEVIN D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2854		
			DATE MAILED: 01/15/2003	DATE MAILED: 01/15/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		N/	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-
	09/728,170	LUCIANO ET AL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-
•	Kevin D. Williams	2854	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	npp ars on the cov r she tw	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a riming if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated that the period for reply will, by stated that the period for reply will, by stated that the main the period for reply will, by stated the period for reply will, by stated that the period for reply will, by stated the period for reply will be period f	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of thiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>0</u>	<u>1 December 2000</u> .		
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☑	This action is non-final.		
 Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice undo Disposition of Claims 			
4) Claim(s) 22-69 is/are pending in the applica	ation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-69</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	d/or election requirement.		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 April 2001</u> is/are:	a)⊡ accepted or b)⊠ objecte	d to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abey	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□	disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume			
2. Certified copies of the priority docume			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the preparation of the international to the second of the preparation of the	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	stic priority under 35 U.S.C	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).	
a) The translation of the foreign language p 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	¥ •		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _

Attachment(s)

6) Other:

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the secure second compartment must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 22-26, 36-38, 41-45, 47, 48, 53-57, 59, 60, and 65-69 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ramachandran (US 5,788,348).

With respect to claims 22-26 and 36-38, Ramachandran teaches a device comprising an electronic device having a secure first compartment 26 and a second compartment (Fig. 3 at 14), where the second compartment is secure and unsecure, the first compartment comprising a door 58, door locking mechanism (col. 5, lines 62),

Art Unit: 2854

printer system 64,62 positioned in the second compartment, where the printer system is accessible from the secure first compartment, the secure first compartment and the second compartment are separated by a wall, the secure first compartment further comprising a printer locking mechanism (col. 5, lines 48-51) that engages the printer system, support frame (Fig. 3 at 14), a chassis engaged with the support frame, and glides 62 for slidably engaging the chassis with the support frame in a lateral direction.

With respect to claims 41-45, 47, 48, 53-57, 59, 60, and 65-69, Ramachandran teaches a device comprising a first compartment (Fig. 3 at 14), at least one printer 64 positioned substantially in the first compartment, the printer being serviced by at least partially removing the printer from the first compartment, the printer being adapted to print on media and present the media to a player, a second compartment 26 in close proximity to the first compartment, the second compartment having an opening, at least one door 58 that limits removal of the printer, a locking mechanism (col. 5, lines 48-51) adapted to be actuated from within the second compartment and limiting the chassis from sliding relative to the frame, the printer slidably attached to the first compartment, a chassis 64,62 and a frame (Fig. 3 at 14), the printer being attached to the chassis, the chassis slidably attached to the frame, the frame being attached to the first compartment, a glide 62 attached to the chassis and the frame, a media holder (part of 64 that supports media) in the first compartment positioned to provide media to the printer, the media holder adapted to store media for use by the printer the device being an automatic teller machine, where when the door is in a closed position the door limits access to the media holder.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 28-34, 39, 46, 49-52, 58, and 61-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ramachandran in view of Chadima (US 5,180,232).

Ramachandran teaches the claimed invention except for the printer assembly being removably attached to the chassis, a controller, the media holder being attached to the chassis and being positioned beneath the printer assembly, the media holder being accessed by sliding the chassis at least partially out of the frame, the printable media being fully exposed when the printer assembly is removed, a pin for engaging a slot in the chassis, the media comprising a strip, and the path being exposed when the printer is removed for the chassis.

Chadima teaches a printer assembly being removably (col. 5, lines 42-44) attached to a chassis 16, a controller (col. 12, lines 20-27), a media holder 12 being attached to the chassis and being positioned beneath the printer assembly, the media holder being accessed by sliding the chassis at least partially out of the frame, the printable media being fully exposed when the printer assembly is removed (Fig. 2), a pin 360 for engaging a slot 301a in the chassis, the media comprising a strip, and the path being exposed when the printer is removed for the chassis (Fig. 2).

Application/Control Number: 09/728,170

Art Unit: 2854

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Ramachandran to have the printer assembly, chassis, and media holder arrangement as taught by Chadima, in order to provide the convenience of being able to totally remove the printer assembly from the chassis for servicing, replacement, or other tasks.

6. Claims 27 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ramachandran in view of Bemis (US 4,265,552).

Ramachandran teaches the claimed invention except for a spring biased pin that engages the chassis.

Bemis teaches a device comprising a spring biased pin 27 for locking one device relative to another.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Ramachandran to have the spring biased pin engage the chassis, in order to utilize a reliable, fast acting, and inexpensive locking mechanism.

7. Claim 35 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ramachandran in view of Small (US 5,442,567).

Ramachandran teaches the claimed invention except for the device being a gaming device.

Small teaches a printer 23 in a gaming device 1.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Ramachandran to have the device be a gaming device, in order to conveniently provide printing capabilities in the same device as the game.

Application/Control Number: 09/728,170

Art Unit: 2854

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin D. Williams whose telephone number is (703) 305-3036. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30am -6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew H. Hirshfeld can be reached on (703) 305-6619. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

KDW

January 12, 2003

ANDREW H. HIRSHFELD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 6

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800